

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
MIAMI DIVISION

NELSON VARGAS, and other similarly  
situated individuals, )  
Plaintiff(s), )  
v. )  
CACIO E. PEPE, LLC d/b/a ALEXANDER )  
GREEK TAVERNA and JEROME AXELROD, )  
Defendants. )

**COMPLAINT**  
**(OPT-IN PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(B))**

Plaintiffs, NELSON VARGAS (“Plaintiff”) and other similarly situated individuals, sue the Defendants, CACIO E. PEPE, LLC d/b/a ALEXANDER GREEK TAVERNA and JEROME AXELROD (collectively the “Defendants”) and allege:

## **JURISDICTION**

1. This is an action to recover money damages for unpaid overtime and minimum wages under the laws of the United States. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201-219 (Section 216 for jurisdictional placement) (“the Act”).

## **VENUE**

2. Plaintiff is a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Plaintiff is a covered employee for purposes of the Act.



3. CACIO E. PEPE, LLC d/b/a ALEXANDER GREEK TAVERNA (the “Corporate Defendant”) and JEROME AXELROD (the “Individual Defendant”), are a Florida Limited Liability Company and a Florida resident, respectively, having their main place of business in Miami-Dade County, Florida, where Plaintiff worked for Defendants, and at all times material hereto were and are engaged in interstate commerce. The Individual Defendant, upon information and belief, resides in Miami-Dade, Florida.

**COUNT I: WAGE AND HOUR VIOLATION BY THE CORPORATE DEFENDANT**

4. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-3 above as if set out in full herein.

5. This action is brought by Plaintiff and those similarly situated to recover from the Corporate Defendant unpaid minimum wages and overtime compensation, as well as an additional amount as liquidated damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys’ fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

6. 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1) states, “No employer shall employ any of his employees . . . for a work week longer than 40 hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and a half times the regular rate at which he is employed.”

7. 29 U.S.C. § 206 (a) (1) states "... an employer must pay a minimum wage of \$5.15/hr to an employee who is engaged in commerce..." [29 U.S.C. § 206 (a) (1)]. On July 24, 2007 Federal minimum wage was raised to \$5.85/hr. On July 24, 2008, Federal minimum wage was raised to \$6.55/hr. On July 24, 2009, Federal minimum wage was raised to \$7.25/hr.

8. The Act provides minimum standards that may be exceeded, but cannot be waived or reduced. Employers must comply, for example, with any Federal, State, or municipal laws, regulations or ordinances establishing a higher minimum wage or lower maximum workweek than those established under the Act. 29 C.F.R. § 541.4.

9. In Florida, the minimum wage from January 1, 2021, through September 29, 2021, was \$8.65 per hour. From September 30, 2021, through the present time, the minimum wage is \$10 per hour.

10. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by Title 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and by Title 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The Corporate Defendant is and, at all times pertinent to this Complaint, was engaged in interstate commerce. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the Corporate Defendant operates as an organization which sells and/or markets its services and/or goods to customers from throughout the United States and also provides its services for goods sold and transported from across state lines of other states, and the Corporate Defendant obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida, and otherwise regularly engages in interstate commerce, particularly with respect to its employees.

11. The annual gross revenue of the Corporate Defendant was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000 per annum, and/or Plaintiff and those similarly situated, by virtue of working in interstate commerce, otherwise satisfy the Act's requirements.

12. By reason of the foregoing, the Corporate Defendant is and was, during all times hereafter mentioned, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §§ 3 (r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s) and/or Plaintiff

and those similarly situated was and/or is engaged in interstate commerce for the Corporate Defendant. The Corporate Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Act applies. The Corporate Defendant is a restaurant and, through its business activity, affects interstate commerce. The Plaintiff's work for the Corporate Defendant likewise affects interstate commerce. Plaintiff was employed by the Corporate Defendant as a prep cook/ dishwasher for the Corporate Defendant's business.

13. While employed by the Corporate Defendant, subject to discovery, Plaintiff worked approximately an average of 90 hours per week without being compensated at the rate of not less than one- and one-half times the regular rate at which he was employed. Plaintiff was employed as a prep cook/ dishwasher performing the same or similar duties as that of those other similarly situated prep cook/ dishwashers whom Plaintiff observed working in excess of 40 hours per week without overtime compensation.

14. Plaintiff worked for the Corporate Defendant from approximately August 5, 2021, through March 15, 2022.

15. In total, Plaintiff worked approximately 32 compensable weeks under the Act, or 32 compensable weeks if we count 3 years back from the filing of the instant action.

16. The Corporate Defendant paid Plaintiff on average approximately \$600 per week.

17. However, the Corporate Defendant did not properly compensate Plaintiff for hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 per week. In addition, when the Corporate Defendant paid Plaintiff \$600 per week and Plaintiff worked 90 hours per week, this also meant that the Corporate Defendant did not fully pay Plaintiff all of his minimum wages.

18. Plaintiff seeks to recover unpaid overtime and minimum wages accumulated from the date of hire and/or from 3 (three) years back from the date of the filing of this Complaint.

19. Prior to the completion of discovery and to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, Plaintiff's good faith estimate of unpaid minimum and overtime wages is as follows:

**UNPAID OVERTIME**

a. **Actual Damages:** \$7,730.00

i. August 5, 2021, through September 30, 2021

Calculation: \$8.65 (minimum wage rate) x .5 (overtime rate) x 50 (weekly overtime hours) x 8 compensable weeks = \$1,730.00

ii. September 30, 2021, through March 15, 2022

Calculation: \$10.00 (minimum wage rate) x .5 (overtime rate) x 50 (weekly overtime hours) x 24 compensable weeks = \$6,000.00

b. **Liquidated Damages:** \$7,730.00

c. **Total Unpaid Overtime:** \$15,460.00 plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

**UNPAID MINIMUM WAGES**

a. **Actual Damages:** \$8,647.20

i. Period from August 5, 2021, through September 30, 2021

Calculation: \$8.65 minimum wage rate – (\$600 (weekly payrate) / 90 (hours worked per week)) = \$1.99 (unpaid minimum wages per hour) x 90 (hours worked per week) x 8 (compensable weeks) = \$1,432.80

ii. Period from September 30, 2021, through March 15, 2022

Calculation: \$10.00 minimum wage rate – (\$600 (weekly payrate) / 90 (hours worked per week)) = \$3.34 (unpaid minimum wages per hour) x 90 (hours worked per week) x 24 (compensable weeks) = \$7,214.40

**b. Liquidated Damages:** \$8,647.20

**c. Total Unpaid Overtime:** **\$17,294.40** plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

**TOTAL DAMAGES: \$32,754.40, PLUS REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS OF SUIT.**

20. At all times material hereto, the Corporate Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 and 29 C.F.R. § 516.2 and § 516.4 et seq. in that Plaintiff and those similarly situated performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the Act but no provision was made by the Corporate Defendant to properly pay them at the rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty hours (40) per workweek as provided in the Act. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are weekly-paid employees and/or former employees of the Corporate Defendant who are and who were subject to the unlawful payroll practices and procedures of the Corporate Defendant and were not paid time and one half of their regular rate of pay for all overtime hours worked in excess of forty.

21. The Corporate Defendant knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the Act concerning the payment of overtime wages and remains owing Plaintiff and those similarly situated these overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's and those similarly situated employees' employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above, and Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to recover double damages. The Corporate Defendant

never posted any notice, as required by Federal Law, to inform employees of their federal rights to overtime and minimum wage payments.

22. The Corporate Defendant willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff overtime and minimum wages as required by the laws of the United States as set forth above and remains owing Plaintiff these overtime and minimum wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above.

23. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent him in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and those similarly situated request that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff and others similarly situated and against the Corporate Defendant on the basis of the Corporate Defendant's willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. and other Federal Regulations; and
- B. Award Plaintiff actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid wages and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

**COUNT II: WAGE AND HOUR VIOLATION BY THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT**

24. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-23 above as if set out in full herein.

25. At the times mentioned, the Individual Defendant was, and is now, the Owner and/or Manager of the Corporate Defendant. The Individual Defendant was an employer of Plaintiff and others similarly situated within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the Act [29 U.S.C.

§ 203(d)], in that this defendant acted directly or indirectly in the interests of the Corporate Defendant in relation to the employees of the Corporate Defendant, including Plaintiff and others similarly situated. The Individual Defendant had operational control of the Corporate Defendant, was involved in the day-to-day functions of the Corporate Defendant, provided Plaintiff with his/her work schedule, and is jointly liable for Plaintiff's damages.

26. The Individual Defendant is and was at all times relevant a person in control of the Corporate Defendant's financial affairs and can cause the Corporate Defendant to compensate (or not to compensate) its employees in accordance with the Act.

27. The Individual Defendant willfully and intentionally caused Plaintiff not to receive overtime compensation and minimum wages as required by the laws of the United States as set forth above and remains owing Plaintiff these overtime and minimum wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above.

28. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent him in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and those similarly situated request that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff and others similarly situated and against the Individual Defendant on the basis of the Defendants' willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. and other Federal Regulations; and
- B. Award Plaintiff actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid wages and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and those similarly situated demand trial by jury of all issues so triable as of right.

Dated: April 8, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Aron Smukler  
Aron Smukler, Esquire  
Fla. Bar No.: 0e297779  
Email: [asmukler@saenzanderson.com](mailto:asmukler@saenzanderson.com)

R. Martin Saenz, Esquire  
Fla. Bar No.: 0640166  
Email: [msaenz@saenzanderson.com](mailto:msaenz@saenzanderson.com)

SAENZ & ANDERSON, PLLC  
20900 NE 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 800  
Aventura, Florida 33180  
Telephone: (305) 503-5131  
Facsimile: (888) 270-5549  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*